

# Factors related to the use of community nursing services in The Netherlands

Ada Kerkstra PhD

Co-ordinator of Community Nursing Research

and Trees Vorst-Thijssen RN

Research Fellow, Netherlands Institute of Primary Health Care, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Accepted for publication 19 June 1990

KERKSTRA A & VORST-THIJSSSEN T (1991) *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 16, 47-54

## Factors related to the use of community nursing services in The Netherlands

This study aims to identify factors important in explaining the nature of community nursing care received by patients in their homes because little is known about the relation between individual characteristics of patients receiving nursing care and the nature of care delivered by community nurses. During a period of 2 weeks a representative sample of 137 community nurses and 49 community nurses' auxiliaries at 47 different locations paid a total number of 12 847 home visits to provide care to 3315 patients. For each home visit, patient's characteristics, the nature of care delivered by the nurse and the length of the home visit was recorded. The results suggested that three groups of patients could be identified in terms of the nature of nursing care received at home. First, patients who were older, who were also receiving informal care and did not suffer from psychosocial problems, were most likely to receive assistance in their activities of daily living. Second, patients suffering from multiple disorders, whose situation was assessed as unstable, and those who did not suffer from psychosocial problems were most likely to receive technical nursing care. These patients were visited most often. Finally, male patients suffering from multiple disorders, whose situation was assessed as unstable, especially when new mental or social problems emerged, were most likely to receive psychosocial support and education from the community nurse. These visits appeared to be the most time-consuming.

## INTRODUCTION

The community nursing services in The Netherlands have become all the more important, given the policy of keeping the people at home in the community for as long as possible, and the reduction in the number of beds in hospital. In addition to this, as is the case in other countries (Baker *et al* 1987, Evashwick *et al* 1984, Speakman 1984), there is an

Correspondence Dr Ada Kerkstra NIVEL (Netherlands Institute of Primary Health Care), PO Box 1568, 3500 BN Utrecht, The Netherlands

increasing proportion of the population in the 65+ age group. While in 1960 only 8.7% of the Dutch population was aged 65 or older, in 1989 approximately 12.7% of the population fell into this category. Current projections indicate that by the year 2000 approximately 14% will be classified as 'elderly' (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek 1990).

In addition to the fact that elderly people, in particular, make use of community nursing services (Nationale

Kruisvereniging 1986b), little is known about other characteristics (e.g. diagnosis, living situation) of the recipients of community nursing care and the nature of services being provided. It also would be very useful for planning purposes to have information about the individual characteristics of patients which relate to the nature of the community nursing care they receive.

A lot of research on the utilization of health services by older people has used the behavioural model of health service utilization developed by Andersen & Newman (1973). In this behavioural model, the use of health services is defined as a function of illness level and the predisposing and enabling characteristics of the individual (Andersen & Newman 1973, Branch *et al.* 1981, Evashwick *et al.* 1984). Illness level comprises both subjective perceptions and objective judgement. Predisposing factors are individual characteristics that may affect need recognition or service utilization. These characteristics may be classified as either demographic (age, sex, marital status), social-structural (education, occupation) or health beliefs (Wolinsky *et al.* 1983). Enabling characteristics are factors such as financial capacity to pay for care, insurance coverage, ability to get to the places where service is offered, and knowledge about the service network in the community (Wan & Odell 1981).

This model has been used to study the influence of the illness level and predisposing and enabling factors on the utilization of hospitals, physicians, dental care and home care services (Branch *et al.* 1981, Coulton & Frost 1982, Evashwick *et al.* 1984, Wolinsky *et al.* 1983, Wan & Odell 1981). Home care services, in the above-mentioned studies, included a combination of skilled nursing, home helps and meals services. The dependent variable is generally dichotomous, i.e. using or not using home care services during a period in the past. The independent factors are not related to the frequency or nature of care received. The above-mentioned studies showed that illness level is the most important individual determinant of the use of home care services.

## THE STUDY

The present study aims to identify factors important in explaining the nature of community nursing care received by patients in their homes. Patients receiving nursing care at home might differ among themselves with respect to age, sex, living situation, diagnosis, psychosocial problems, etc. Furthermore, community nurses deliver different sorts of care to patients at home, e.g. technical nursing care, personal hygienic care, health education, and support with

psychosocial problems (Dunnell & Dobbs 1982, Nationale Kruisvereniging 1986a). The frequency and the length of home visits can also be variable (Speakman 1984). However, little is known about the relation between individual characteristics of patients receiving nursing care at home and the nature of care delivered by community nurses.

Unlike the above-mentioned research, using the behavioural model of Andersen & Newman to explain the use of home care services, the present study concerns only those patients receiving nursing care at home, whereas the other studies included both people using home care services as well as people not using such services.

More specifically, this study has attempted to find answers to the following questions:

- 1 What are the individual characteristics of patients cared for by community nurses at home?
- 2 What kind of nursing care do these patients receive at home?
- 3 Which individual characteristics of the patients affect the nature of care received?

## METHOD

A representative sample of 137 community nurses and 49 community nurses' auxiliaries at 47 different locations in The Netherlands were studied. During a period of 2 weeks, they recorded all their activities and the tasks undertaken, e.g. home visits, sessions held at a child health clinic, consultation with other primary care providers and travelling. They also kept records of the time spent on these activities. All the auxiliaries and 108 community nurses worked during office hours, and 29 community nurses worked only during the weekends, in the evening or at night. They recorded their activities on diary sheets which had been developed and tested for reliability and validity in a pilot study (Kerkstra & de Wit 1988).

The study is restricted to the self-recording of patient care contact at home. In the 2-week period, the nurses paid a total number of 12 847 home visits to provide care to 3315 patients. For each home visit, the patient's name, sex, age, living situation (i.e. whether alone, or with spouse, family, etc.), diagnosis, the motives for visiting the patient at home and whether or not the patient was receiving informal care, were recorded. They also recorded the time of arrival and departure and their activities during the home visit. The main categories of care distinguished were:

- 1 hygienic care: bathing the patient, help with washing and dressing, care for hair, nails and feet, and help with the lavatory.

**Table 1** Factor analysis of care delivered to the patients ( $n = 3315$ ) by the community nurses

Type of care	Factor loadings		
	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
Health education	<b>0.75</b>	-0.04	0.09
Support in following therapy	<b>0.71</b>	-0.01	0.03
Support in psychosocial problems	<b>0.66</b>	-0.10	-0.19
Support for informal caregivers	<b>0.50</b>	0.27	0.11
Personal hygienic care	-0.08	<b>0.84</b>	0.04
Household activities	0.07	<b>0.81</b>	-0.02
Technical nursing care	-0.25	0.13	<b>0.77</b>
Administration	0.29	-0.05	<b>0.65</b>
Percentage of variance	24.0	19.0	12.7

- 2 household activities preparing food and drinks, cleaning the bathroom, etc,
- 3 technical nursing care care of pressure sores and wounds, stomacare, administration of medicines and catheterization,
- 4 health education giving information to the patient regarding the nature of the illness, the use of medicines, the possibility of self-care and informal care, auxiliary apparatus and adaptation of the house,
- 5 support in carrying out therapy to help and to encourage the patient with rehabilitation exercises, to support the patient when he has to follow a strict diet,
- 6 support in psychosocial problems listening, empathic understanding, advising,
- 7 support for informal caregivers advice to relatives, giving instructions on nursing care, discussing the workload of the informal caregivers,
- 8 administration recording information about the patients, and the care given to the patient on the patient's record card

The examples given above for each category provide an indication of the kinds of activities included in a category, but are not exhaustive. Finally, the nurses recorded the degree of instability in the patient's situation, i.e. they recorded whether new physical symptoms, mental or social problems were detected.

### Dependent and independent variables

To answer the third research question, Andersen & Newman's (1973) model of health services utilization was adapted for use in our study and the independent variables

were grouped into illness level and predisposing factors. In our model, illness level included the number of different diagnoses of the patient's condition, the degree of instability in patient's situation, and whether there was a psychological or social reason for the home visit. Predisposing variables included age, sex, living situation and whether the patient was receiving informal care (social network). Enabling factors have been excluded from our study because the patients all received nursing care at home. Furthermore, since 1980, the services of community nurses have been financed by a system of public insurance based on the General Act on Exceptional Medical Costs (AWBZ). This means that, in principle, everybody in The Netherlands is entitled to receive care from community nurses, where such care is needed. The services of community nurses are also directly accessible to the public, i.e. people do not have to be referred by a physician to use the service.

The dependent variable in our model was the nature of nursing care received by the patient. In order to define the indicators of the dependent variable, a principal components analysis was done with orthogonal varimax rotation. From this analysis, three factors could be identified, explaining 55.7% of the variance (Table 1). A category of care was considered to be highly loaded on a factor, if it was equal to or greater than 0.50 on the given factor and less than 0.30 on any other factor.

The first factor could be labelled 'support and education'. Factor 2 contained categories in respect of assistance with the activities of daily living (ADL) of the patients, and the third factor that emerged could be labelled 'technical nursing care'. These three factors served as indicators of the nature of nursing care received. Accordingly, each patient was assigned a score on each of these types of nursing care.

**Table 2** Percentage distribution of patients receiving nursing care at home by age, sex, living situation and informal care

	Males ( <i>n</i> = 1171)	Females ( <i>n</i> = 2144)
<b>Age</b>		
0-10	13	05
11-20	08	03
21-30	08	13
31-40	14	29
41-50	26	34
51-60	76	66
61-70	150	162
71-80	336	321
80+	370	367
<b>Living situation</b>		
Living alone	240	458
Living together with spouse	603	330
Living with other people	157	212
<b>Receiving informal care</b>		
Yes	843	762
No	157	238

## RESULTS

### Individual characteristics of patients receiving nursing care at home

#### *Predisposing variables*

The patients receiving community nursing care were predominantly women (64.7%), and 86.5% of the patients were at least 60 years old, and approximately 72% were over 70 years of age (Table 2). The average age of all patients was 73.6 years. There were no differences in age between males and females.

Conversely, living situation and receiving informal care were related to age. The mean age of patients living alone (77.7 years) was significantly higher ( $t = -13.58$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ) than the mean age of patients living together with spouse or with other people (71 years). The mean age of patients receiving informal care (74.9 years) was significantly higher ( $t = -8.47$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ) than the mean age of patients not receiving informal care (68.5 years). This unexpected finding was caused by the presence of a relatively young group of 326 patients with a mean age of 61 years, who were living together with spouse or other people, but did not receive informal care. However, further analysis showed that there was no interaction between living alone

**Table 3** Diagnoses of patients receiving nursing care at home (*n* = 3315)

Diagnosis	Percentage
Musculoskeletal disorders	27.1
Cardiovascular diseases	17.2
Diabetes	14.9
No diagnosis (frailty, old age)	13.5
Cancer	12.6
Neurological disorders	12.2
Cerebral haemorrhage	9.6
Dementia Senilis	6.6
Chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases	6.1
Other diagnosis	18.6

and receiving informal care with age as dependent variable ( $F = 1.31$ ,  $P = 0.20$ ).

Furthermore, patients living alone were receiving less informal care compared with patients living together with spouse or other people ( $\phi_1 = 0.16$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ). This is consistent with the finding that informal care was predominantly received from the spouse (34%) or from other people living with the patient (19%). In addition to this, living situation and receiving informal care were related to the sex of the patient: more female patients were living alone ( $\phi_1 = 0.22$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ) and male patients more often received informal care ( $\phi_1 = 0.12$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ).

#### *Illness level*

Table 3 shows the diagnoses of the patients. The most common diagnosis was musculoskeletal disorders (including arthritis), followed by cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and cancer. The figures indicate that a substantial number of patients were suffering from chronic problems limiting their mobility. In nearly 14% of the patients, no diagnosis was made. They received nursing care because of their age and frailty. More than half of the patients (59%) had one diagnosis, 22% of the patients had two diagnoses and 6% had three or more diagnoses.

The most common motive for the home visits was to give care related to the diagnosis, followed by personal care activities such as bathing the patient. About 6% of the patients received aftercare. Aftercare has been defined as nursing care received at home within a period of 4 weeks after discharge from hospital (Verschuren 1985). Around 10% of the patients were visited for reasons of reassurance, i.e. the community nurse checked to see that nothing was amiss with the patient. This was predominantly in the case

**Table 4** Motives for visiting the patient at home ( $n = 3315$ )

Motives*	Percentage
Terminal care	3.2
Aftercare	5.7
Patient education	4.8
Reassurance	10.3
Support in psychosocial problems	6.8
Care related to diagnosis	67.6
Personal care activities	27.5
Other motives	6.6

\*28% of the home visits had more than one motive

of patients who had been receiving nursing care for a certain period, and were now trying to become independent. Furthermore, around 7% of the patients were visited for psychosocial reasons. Finally, 3% of the patients were visited in order to give them terminal care. About half of the patients receiving terminal care were suffering from cancer.

The situations of most patients (70.2%) were recorded as stable, that is the community nurses did not observe *new* physical symptoms, mental or social problems. In 17.2% of the patients, deterioration emerged in only one of the three areas (physical, mental or social), whereas 5.8% of the patients showed new symptoms in two areas. In addition, in 6.8% of the patients a deterioration was observed in physical, mental, as well as social respects.

#### Nature of community nursing care received by patients

The average number of home visits per patient during the 2 weeks was four with a range of one to 58 visits. The average length of visits was 32 minutes with a range of 2 minutes to 1 hour and 35 minutes. The number of home visits was negatively related to the length of the visits ( $r = -0.15$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ). Patients suffering from diabetes were visited most frequently; however, these visits were the least time-consuming.

More than half of the patients received personal hygienic care such as bathing, grooming and dressing, or technical nursing care like care of pressure sores and wounds, stoma care and administration of medicines (Table 5). Health education was given to nearly 40% of the patients. This mostly concerned information on the nature of illness, the use of medicines, and self-care options. In addition, 28% of the patients were encouraged to do rehabilitation exercises, to

**Table 5** Categories of care delivered to the patients ( $n = 3315$ ) by the community nurses

Categories of care	Percentage
Personal hygienic care	58.4
Technical nursing care	59.8
Household activities	22.8
Health education	38.7
Support in realizing therapy	27.7
Support in psychosocial problems	37.5
Support for informal caregivers	30.2
Administration	69.3

**Table 6** Correlations between types of nursing care (factors) and frequency and duration of the home visits ( $n = 3315$ )

	Number of home visits	Length of home visits
Supporting and education	-0.11*	0.36*
Assistance in ADL	0.07*	0.11*
Technical nursing care	0.18*	-0.10*

\* $P < 0.001$

ADL = activities of daily living

follow a diet as prescribed, or to use auxiliary equipment. Nearly 40% of the patients were given support for psychosocial problems. Acceptance of illness or physical disability, feelings of loneliness and problems concerning admission to the hospital or nursing home were the most common psychosocial problems among the patients. Finally, informal caregivers received support from the community nurse in 30% of the cases. As mentioned before, from a principal components analysis carried out on these categories of nursing care, three factors could be identified: (a) support and education, (b) assistance in ADL, and (c) technical nursing care. Table 6 shows that giving support to the patient or to the informal caregivers together with patient education was positively related to the length of the home visit and slightly negatively related to the frequency of home visits.

Conversely, delivering technical nursing care was positively related to the number of home visits and slightly negatively related to the duration of the home visits. Assistance given by the community nurse in the activities of daily living of the patients was moderate in relation to the frequency and duration of the home visits. These findings suggest that supporting patients in, for instance, psychosocial problems or giving health education were the most

**Table 7** Regression of nature of care received by the patients ( $n = 3315$ ) on illness level and predisposing variables

Independent variables	Supporting and education	Assistance in ADL	Technical nursing care
	$\beta$	$\beta$	$\beta$
Illness level			
Number of diagnoses	0.11*	0.05	0.18*
Degree of instability	0.31*	0.04	0.10*
Psychological or social reasons for home visits	0.27*	-0.33*	-0.19*
Predisposing characteristics			
Age	-0.04	0.15*	0.04
Sex (1 = male, 2 = female)	-0.11*	-0.02	0.04
Living alone (1 = living together, 2 = living alone)	-0.06	0.00	-0.01
Receiving informal care (1 = no, 2 = yes)	0.06	0.18*	0.05
R <sup>2</sup> (I)	0.18	0.11	0.09
R <sup>2</sup> (I + P)	0.20	0.17	0.09

\* $P < 0.0001$ 

time-consuming nursing care activities, whereas patients receiving technical care were visited most frequently

### Individual characteristics of patients related to the nature of care received

In the present study, nature of care received had been measured by three indicators (a) support and education, (b) assistance in ADL, and (c) technical nursing care. The independent variables were grouped into two blocks: illness level and predisposing characteristics. A hierarchical regression analysis was performed with the blocks entered sequentially. This enabled examination of the effects of predisposing characteristics after illness level had been taken into account. Table 7 presents  $R^2$  resulting from the addition of each block to the model. Standardized coefficients for the final model, simultaneously including all the independent variables, are also presented.

The variable 'psychological or social reasons for home visits' included a combination of three motives for visiting the patient: support in psychosocial problems, reassurance and patient education. The amount of variance in the nature of care explained by the model adapted from Andersen & Newman (1973) is rather low. Only 9% of the variance was explained in technical nursing care, 17% of the variance in assistance in ADL, and 20% of the variance in support and education. Predisposing characteristics and the absence of a psychological or social reason for visiting the patient had

the greatest effect on the assistance in activities of daily living received by the patients. Patients who were older, those who also were receiving informal care, and were not visited for psychological or social reasons were most likely to receive assistance in ADL from the community nurse.

Illness level is the major reason for receiving technical nursing care from the community nurse. Patients suffering from multiple disorders, those who were not visited for psychological or social reasons, and patients whose situation was assessed as unstable were most likely to receive technical nursing care. Also, illness level had the greatest effect on explaining whether or not patients received support or education from the community nurse. Only one predisposing characteristic had a significant effect on receiving support and education when the influence of the other variables in the model was controlled: male patients were significantly more likely than female patients to receive support and education from the community nurse.

### DISCUSSION

This study has shown that patients receiving community nursing care were predominantly elderly (72% were over 70), females (64.7%) and about 46% of these female patients were living alone. In a study carried out in England, similar findings were reported (Dunnell & Dobbs 1982). Most of the patients received informal care from spouse or from other people living with the patient. Female patients received informal care less often than male patients.

Developments in the elderly as a group will be characterized by an increase in the elderly aged over 80 years and an increase in females living alone. Consequently, the possibilities of informal care will decrease and the use of professional home services will increase.

A substantial number of patients were suffering from chronic problems limiting their mobility, and more than a fourth of them were suffering from multiple disorders. A wide variety of nursing care was received by the patients. More than half of the patients received personal hygienic care or technical nursing care. Besides these more 'traditional' sorts of nursing care, many patients were supported to their psychosocial problems, received health education or were encouraged in following prescribed therapies. Informal caregivers also often received support from the community nurses.

In the present study, the Andersen & Newman (1973) model of utilization of health services was adapted to explain the nature of nursing care received by patients at home. The predictor factors were illness level and the predisposing characteristics of the patients. However, the amount of variance in the nature of nursing care received explained by this model was rather low. Only 9% of the variance was explained in technical nursing care, 17% of the variance in receiving assistance by ADL and 20% of the variance in support and education. The findings from these analyses of the Andersen & Newman (1973) model suggest that the model, as used in this investigation, makes only a modest contribution to our understanding of the kind of care received by different patients from community nursing services. In this respect, our findings are congruent with results of previous research into the utilization of home care services.

Previous research showed that only 13 to 43% (Evashwick *et al* 1984, Coulton & Frost 1982, Wolinsky *et al* 1983, Kivela *et al* 1986) of the variance was explained by the use of home care services. Furthermore, our analyses only partly used the Andersen & Newman (1973) model. Only a few predisposing variables and indicators of illness level were used. For example, measurements of the subjective need perceptions of the patients and functional status (ADL) were not included. Other researchers (e.g. Bass & Noelker 1987) have also emphasized the need to elaborate the Andersen & Newman (1973) model further. They suggested that concepts like social networks and informal caregivers needed more attention in the model.

## Conclusion

Our results suggested that three groups of patients could be identified in terms of the nature of the nursing care

received at home. First, those patients who were older, who were also receiving informal care and did not suffer from psychosocial problems, were most likely to receive assistance in their activities of daily living, e.g. help with washing and dressing, preparing food and drinks, from the community nurse. Maybe the availability of informal care providers is an essential condition for the option of caring for older patients with a low functional status at home. These patients were visited with moderate frequency and the length of home visits also was moderate.

Second, patients suffering from multiple disorders, whose situation was assessed as unstable, i.e. where new physical symptoms emerged, and those who did not suffer from psychosocial problems were most likely to receive technical nursing care, e.g. care of pressure sores and wounds, administration of medicines and catheterization, from the community nurse. These patients were visited most often.

Finally, male patients suffering from multiple disorders, whose situation was assessed as unstable, especially when new mental or social problems emerged, were most likely to receive support and education from the community nurse. Their informal care providers also received support and instructions. These visits appeared to be the most time-consuming, but were conducted less often than visits to patients who did need technical nursing care.

## References

- Andersen R & Newman J F (1973) Societal and individual determinants of medical care utilization in the United States. *Millbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 1, 95-124
- Baker G, Bevan J M, McDonnell L & Wall B (1987) *Community Nursing, Research and Recent Developments*. Croom Helm, London
- Bass D M & Noelker L S (1987) The influence of family caregivers on elder's use of in-home services: an expanded conceptual framework. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour* 28, 184-196
- Branch L, Jette A, Evashwick C, Polansky M, Rowe G & Diehr P (1981) Toward understanding elders' health service utilization. *Journal of Community Health* 7(2), 80-92
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (1990) *Statistisch Zakboek 1990*. SDU Uitgeverij, 's-Gravenhage
- Coulton C & Frost A.K (1982) Use of social and health services by the elderly. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour* 23, 330-339
- Dunnell K & Dobbs J (1982) *Nurses Working in the Community*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London
- Evashwick C, Rowe G, Diehr P & Branch L (1984) Factors explaining the use of health care services by the elderly. *Health Services Research* 19(3), 358-382

- Kerkstra A & de Wit A.M.G.J (1988) Het werk van de wijkverpleging gemeten instrumentontwikkeling *Verpleegkunde* 3, 140-152
- Kivela S, Pahkala K & Tervo R (1986) Factors explaining the referral of elderly to home nursing or home help *Health Policy* 6, 73-85
- Nationale Kruisvereniging (1986a) *Een blik op de wijkverpleging* Nationale Kruisvereniging, Bunnik
- Nationale Kruisvereniging (1986b) *Feiten en cijfers* Nationale Kruisvereniging, Bunnik
- Speakman J (1984) Measuring the immeasurable *Nursing Times* 80(22), 56-58
- Verschuren M.J.D (1985) *Selectiecriteria Voor Nazorg* Rijksuniversiteit Limburg, Vakgroep Verplegingswetenschap, Maastricht
- Wan T.T.H & Odell B.G (1981) Factors of affecting the use of social and health services among the elderly *Ageing and Society* 1, 95-115
- Wolinsky F.D, Coe R.M, Miller, D.K, Prendergast J.M, Creel M.J & Chavez M.N (1983) Health services utilization among the noninstitutionalized elderly *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour* 24, 325-337



This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.